

[2008]

NEW TECHNOLOGY-BASED APPROACH TO ADVANCE HIGHER VOLUME FLY ASH CONCRETE WITH ACCEPTABLE PERFORMANCE



Co-Funded by the U. S. Department of Energy – Combustion
Byproducts Recycling Consortium

New Technology-Based Approach to Advance Higher Volume Fly Ash Concrete With Acceptable Performance

FINAL REPORT (2008)

Start Date: July 1, 2006
End Date: June 30, 2008 (DOE funding cut on March 30, 2007)

Authors:

Karthikeyan H. Obla, Sushant Upadhyaya, Dimitrios Goulias, Anton K. Schindler, and
Nicholas J. Carino

Report Issue Date: August 2008

Subcontract No. 98-166-NRMCA
DOE Award Number 05-CBRC-M20
RMC Research & Education Foundation Project No. 07-09

Committee:

Contractor:

Karthikeyan H. Obla and Colin L. Lobo
National Ready Mixed Concrete Association
900 Spring Street, Silver Spring, MD 20910

Sub Contractors:

Dr. Dimitrios Goulias

University of Maryland

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Nicholas J. Carino, Concrete Materials Consultant

National Institute of Standards and Technology (retired)

Gaithersburg, MD 20886

Dr. Anton Schindler

Auburn University

Department of Civil Engineering, Auburn, AL 36849

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been prepared solely for information purposes. It is intended solely for the use of professional personnel, competent to evaluate the significance and limitations of its content, and who will accept full responsibility for the application of the material it contains. The National Ready Mixed Concrete Association and any other organizations cooperating in the preparation of this report strive for accuracy but disclaim any and all responsibility for application of the stated principles or for the accuracy of the content or sources and shall not be liable for any loss or damage arising from reliance on or use of any content or principles contained in this presentation. Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this presentation are copyrighted to the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Chapter 1 – Introduction.....	2
Chapter 2 – Background.....	5
2.1 Maturity Method	5
2.2 Pullout Test	7
2.3 Match Curing	7
2.4 Push out Cylinders	7
Chapter 3 – Experimental Work – Mortar.....	9
3.1 Materials	9
3.2 Mixing Mortar.....	11
3.3 Mortar Testing	11
3.3.1 Fresh Mortar Tests.....	11
3.4 Mixture Proportions	12
3.5 Discussion of Test Results	15
3.5.1 Fresh Mortar Properties.....	15
3.5.2. Compressive Strength.....	18
3.5.3. Calculation of Activation Energy	20
Chapter 4 – Experimental Work – Concrete	27
4.1 Materials	27
4.2 Mixing Concrete	27
4.3 Concrete Testing.....	27
4.3.2 Hardened Concrete Tests.....	27
4.4 Mixture Proportions.....	34
4.5 Discussion of Test Results	35
4.5.1 Fresh Concrete Properties	35
4.5.2 Standard-Cured Strength Results and Strength-Maturity Relationship.....	35
4.5.3 Pullout Force Test Results and Pullout Force Versus Strength Correlation.....	39
4.5.4 In-Place Strength Estimates Based on Field-Cured and Match-Cured Cylinder Strengths	42
4.5.5 In-Place Strength Estimates Based on Pullout and Maturity.....	45
Chapter 5 – Semi-Adiabatic Calorimetry Testing.....	51
5.1 Quantifying the Total Heat of Hydration of the Cementitious Materials	51

5.2 Quantifying the Degree of Hydration Development.....	52
5.3 Temperature Sensitivity of Cementitious Materials	53
5.4 Modeling the Heat Generation and Temperature Associated with Hydration.....	53
5.5 Experimental Work.....	54
5.6 Test Data and Discussion of Results.....	55
Chapter 6 – Conclusions.....	59
References.....	60
Appendix A.....	64
Appendix B.....	72
Appendix C.....	83
Appendix D.....	85
Appendix E.....	89

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A major obstacle that limits the widespread use of High-Volume Fly Ash (HVFA) concrete is its lower early-age strength as documented in research studies conducted in the laboratory with standard cured strength specimens. The objective of this study was to demonstrate, using maturity-based techniques that the actual in-place strength of HVFA concrete in a structure is higher than that indicated by strength measured on field-cured cylinders due to the higher in-place temperature resulting from the slower dissipation of heat of hydration due to the greater mass of structural members. The in-place strength of concrete in the structure can be determined by monitoring its temperature history over time, calculating the maturity, and by estimating the in-place strength from the pre-calibrated strength-maturity relationship. The maturity concept assumes hydraulic cement concrete of the same maturity will have similar strengths, regardless of the combination of time and temperature yielding the maturity. Maturity concepts are well established for Portland cement concretes but they are not so established for HVFA concrete mixtures containing chemical admixtures. The Arrhenius and Nurse-Saul maturity functions are commonly used to establish the maturity index. The Arrhenius maturity function is considered more accurate and was used in this study. The Arrhenius maturity function requires the use of mixture-specific activation energy to improve predictions of strength. The activation energy quantifies the temperature sensitivity of the concrete mixture.

An initial task was to determine the activation energy of each of the concrete mixtures using the procedure outlined in ASTM C1074. Various fly ashes (Class C and Class F fly ash meeting the standard ASTM C618) with multiple dosages (20% to 50% by mass of cementitious materials) were used in this study. Activation energies of these mixtures were determined. Some unexpected trends of strength based on curing temperature were observed for these fly ashes mixtures. The fly ash mixtures cured at elevated temperatures demonstrated higher long-term strengths than anticipated in comparison to the strength of specimens cured at lower temperatures.

The next step was to develop strength-maturity relationships in the laboratory for four of the concrete mixtures. Additionally, pullout load versus compressive strength correlations were developed. To validate the strength predictions based on maturity, four concrete blocks and slabs were prepared in the field during the period of October to December, when the ambient temperature ranged from 15.5°C (60°F) to 7.5°C (45°F). The in-place compressive strength of the concrete blocks and slabs were predicted based on the following approaches:

1. Match-cured cylinders;
2. Pullout testing using the pullout versus compressive strength relationship previously developed;
3. Maturity based on the activation energy and strength-maturity relationship previously measured; and
4. Field-cured cylinders.

Compressive strength of the concrete mixtures using standard-cured cylinders was tested at several ages.

Based on this study the following preliminary conclusions are made:

1. Match-cured compressive strength data have clearly demonstrated that HVFA concretes in actual structural members achieve much higher early-age strengths than the strength indicated by testing field-cured cylinders. This observation will allow for further mixture optimization and possibly increased content of fly ash without negative impact on construction operations.
2. A maturity-based approach has been developed to estimate in-place strength in the actual structure from temperature measurement with time.